## ASSESSING COLLABORATIVE POTENTIAL: INITIAL SCREENING WORKSHEET

	management or decision making situatised by complexity and controversies, as a successful way forward.				
Rank these three situations is with the greatest need.  1.  2.  3.	in order of perceived need for collabora	tion, wi	th 1 beir	ng the situa	tion
Part two Rate each situation (theoretic	ically) on a 1 to 5 scale according to the	followi	ng scree	ening criter	ia.
1. Number and nature of p	participants 5	A	В	C	
Few parties, clearly identified	Many parties, or parties poorly identified		/	_/	
2. History of the situation 1 2 3 4 Newly emerging, little history	5 Long history, or volatile history	A	B /	C _/	
3. Level of trust and respet 1 2 3 4 Reasonable trust and respect	ct between actors 5 Little or no trust, questionable respect	A	B /	C _/	
4. The current situation is  1 2 3 4  Driven by specific identifiable interests	5 Driven by deeply held values and/or cultural differences	A	B	C _/	
5. In this situation, parties have: 1 2 3 4 5			В	C	
Few other viable options for resolution	Many other viable options for resolution		/	_/	

Subtotal (carry values to the next page)

Subtotal (enter values fr	om	the previous page)		/	_/
6. The issues in this situ 1 2 3 Clear and not controversial	ıati 4	on are: 5 Highly controversial and/or unclear	A	B /	C _/
7. This situation has: 1 2 3 Little scientific uncertainty	4	5 Considerable scientific uncertainty	A	B /	C/
8. Relevant information 1 2 3 Is publicly accessible in an understandable form	4	5 Is not publicly accessible	A	B /	C/
<ul> <li>9. In this situation: <ol> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> </ol> </li> <li>Key decision makers are involved and committed to collaboration</li> </ul> <li>10. Resources in this sit <ul> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> </ul> </li>	4	4 5 Key decision makers are not involved and are	A	B /	C /
	tuat 4	not committed to collaboration	A	В	C
Are readily available to support collaboration				/	

Total the rating points for each conflict/decision situation. A lower score indicates a more manageable situation and greater collaborative potential.

Compare your ratings with your rankings from page 1. How does each situation's ranking and rating match up?

In those situations that need collaboration but have low collaborative potential, what could be done to increase the prospect for collaboration?

## **Further reflections:**

What kind of situations will you work with at home, where the collaborative potential is important to assess? Note that it could be both external processes and/or internally in the organisation you yourself would be working in? Which impact might your assessment have on choosing a project management or process management approach?